

**A.—Sri V E E R E N D R A PATIL**  
(Minister for Public Works).—

(a) No.

(b) No.

(c) The estimated cost of constructing a road from Karwar to Kadra via Mallapur for a distance of 19½ miles is Rs. 59,78,087.

**Sri B. P. KADAM.**—May I know when the estimates for the construction of the road were completed?

†**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—There was no proposal to construct a road from Karwar to Idagundi and the reply given is 'no'. There was no question of preparing plans and estimates.

**Sri B. P. KADAM.**—In clause (c), it is stated that so much of an amount is required. If no estimate has been prepared, how have you come to this conclusion?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—Originally, there was a proposal to have an ore-carrying road from Londa to Sadasivgad. Because there was not sufficient stacking place at Sadasivgad, the programme was changed. It was decided to have a road from Londa to Karwar. This portion of the road is from Karwar to Kadra via Mallapur, and this is a portion of Londa-Karwar road.

**Sri B. P. KADAM.**—May I know when you came to the conclusion that so much amount was required?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—I have already said that the Karwar to Kadra via Mallapur road was a portion of the Londa to Karwar Road. That was administratively approved on 17-1-1961.

#### Minimum Scales of Upper Division Grade to the Graduates working as Second Division Clerks.

\*Q—226. **Sri C. M. REVANA SIDDIAH** (Siruguppa).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is a fact that in the Old Mysore State graduates who were working as Second Division Clerks have been allowed to start with the minimum scales of Upper Division Grade from the date of their entry into service;

(b) whether this concession has been extended to graduates working as Second Division Clerks in Bellary District also;

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

**A.—Sri B. D. JATTI** (Minister for Finance).—

(a), (b) and (c) In Ex-Mysore area, II Division clerks who graduated while in service were being allowed a starting pay of Rs. 60. There were also similar concessions in other areas. These concessions had been stopped. But to secure uniformity and avoid hardship, Government have since issued Orders giving benefits of two advance increments (A copy of the order is placed on the Table of the House). [Copy placed in the Mysore Legislature Library No. 2/L. A. July 1962.]

**Sri C. M. REVANA SIDDIAH.**—Will the Government be pleased to answer when this concession of graduate second division clerks drawing the minimum of first division pay order was passed?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—It was on the 18th April 1961.

**Sri C. M. REVANA SIDDIAH.**—When was Bellary merged with Mysore?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—Perhaps this question does not arise out of the question.

**Sri C. M. REVANA SIDDIAH.**—Sir, the question pertains to Bellary District and the Hon'ble Minister has to give an answer.

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—It was in 1953.

**Sri C. M. REVANA SIDDIAH.**—Are not the Graduates serving in Bellary District, while coming to Mysore, eligible to enjoy the concessions enjoyed by others under the Mysore Service Rules?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—By all means.

**Sri C. M. REVANA SIDDIAH.**—Have Government come to know that there are graduates in Bellary District, who were graduates prior to 1953, and serving as Second Division clerks, and who are not enjoying the concession of drawing the minimum of the first division clerk's pay?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—Yes, Sir.

**Sri C. M. REVANA SIDDIAH.**—What is the action that the Government has proposed to take or has taken

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—After taking into

consideration all the suggestions from various areas, Government have passed the final order on 3-4-1962 applicable to all the people of all the integrated areas.

**Sri C. M. REVANA SIDDAIAH.**—While such an order was passed, how was Bellary treated? Was it treated as a part of old Mysore or as a newly integrated area?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—It was treated as old Mysore area. Representations were made by some of the people in the State. Government considered the representation and ultimately decided to do justice to all the people in the entire new State.

**Sri C. M. REVANA SIDDAIAH.**—Sir, this question pertains to Bellary. No direct answer is given. Graduates serving in Bellary District, prior to the merger of Bellary into Mysore, — are they not eligible for getting the same scales of pay that the others are getting in their cadre?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—Sir, the difficulty is that the Hon'ble member is not putting the question properly. As long as Bellary was in the Madras State, the Rules in Madras were applicable to those people. When Bellary was included in Mysore, the rules in Mysore are applicable to the personnel. Now Government have passed an order, which is applicable to all the people of the State, including the servants in Bellary district.

**Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.**—After issuing this Government order which seeks to secure uniformity, I wish know whether there is disparity in the scales of pay of graduates employed in old Mysore and those employed in other areas.

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—Sir, there is no question of disparity in the pay scales. It is only a question of a particular set of servants who were not graduates while entering service in the lower cadre. Now it has been brought to one level.

**Sri ANGADI CHANNABASAPPA.**—What is the purpose of treating Bellary as old Mysore in this case only?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—The presumption itself is wrong.

**Sri ANGADI CHANNABASAPPA.**—Has Bellary been treated as part of old Mysore State for all purposes?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—Sir, I am replying only to the question which I am expected to reply.

**Sri ANGADI CHANNABASAPPA.**—Then I am compelled to put another question. Is Bellary being treated as old Mysore area for all Government purposes?

**Sri B. D. JATTI.**—For the purpose of this question, it is treated as old Mysore area.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Question time is over. There are two questions put in the agenda. Shall I hold them over for the reason that they were adjourned at the instance of the Hon'ble Minister? Questions Nos. 137 and 189 from the 8th list — we will take them up tomorrow.

## BUDGET ESTIMATES FOR 1962-63

### General Discussion.

*(Debate continued.)*

2-00 P.M.

**Sri P. F. D'MELLOW (Nominated).**—Mr. Speaker, Sir, I thank you very much for giving me an opportunity to participate in the general discussion on the Budget. I shall try to refer to a few matters that are found included in our Budget. The first one concerns that class of citizens who have not received and who do not receive the attention they deserve. I speak for school teachers. I understand that the State Aided Schools Provident Fund Rules have now been framed and are shortly to be implemented. May I, in the meantime, draw the attention of Government to the fact that for the past 5 years the schools in Bangalore have received no payment by way of Government contribution to the provident fund of school teachers? I have no doubt that there must be a very good reason for this, but I feel that to the poorly paid school teacher it must appear as though his needs have been overlooked during the past 5